

JUDICIARY OF GUAM

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

NO. RFP 10 – 02

**To Furnish, Deliver and Install Court-Related
Management Information Systems
for the Judiciary of Guam**

Clarification #3

September 8, 2010

1. When will the CJIS Exchange Broker be ready for use by the new CMS?

The Integration Project should be completed by Nov 2010 with all components in place within the scope of law enforcement. Therefore, the CJIS Exchange Broker should be ready for use by the new CMS by the time the CMS contract is awarded.

2. When will the external systems be ready to use the CJIS Exchange Broker?

Two major stakeholders, Guam Police Department and the Department of Corrections, are connected to the CJIS Exchange Broker and a third, the Attorney General, will be connected in early October 2010.

3. Do we implement interfaces right now with their existing interfaces, and then again with NIEM IEPDs when they are NIEM IEPD ready?

No. Offerors are to assume that interfaces are only to be implemented using NIEM IEPDs and will not be implemented twice.

4. When will the e-filing rules for the Superior Court be ready?

No timetable has been set for this task. The Superior Court will likely look to the winning Offeror to make recommendations regarding e-filing rules.

5. Does the e-filing module have to be ECF 4.0 compliant?

No, this is not a requirement. However, compliance with ECF 4.0 is desired and a goal of the Judiciary.

6. Regarding e-filing, are you looking only for a price quote now, and implementation later when all the pending rules etc. are finalized, not necessarily during the implementation of this project?

Yes, the Offeror's proposal is to include a plan and price for implementation of e-filing. If e-filing rules are not established in time for the winning Offeror to implement e-filing within the contract period, the Judiciary will negotiate with the winning Offeror to implement e-filing as a follow-on project.

7. Capabilities and Scenarios, pg 2 -- #9 – please clarify the capability required

The Guam Judiciary seeks great flexibility in its CMS solution. This includes the ability to add database fields, after the system is implemented, without corrupting existing data.

8. Capabilities and Scenarios, pg 6 -- #35 – what is the Superior C76court?

This is a typo. It should say "Superior Court".

9. Capabilities and Scenarios, pg 10 -- #5 – please clarify what is intended by "private" data

Some examples of private data include the following:

- Juvenile case data should be accessible only to court staff of the judge who handles that judge's assigned juvenile cases.
- Specialty court cases – Drug (Adult, Juvenile), Mental Health, Family Violence – should be accessible only to court staff of the judge who handles these case types.
- Client Services and Family Counseling staff should be the only people with access to their caseload because cases may contain HIPAA-protected data.

- If the Public Defender participates, all of their case notes are protected by attorney-client privilege.

10. Capabilities and Scenarios, pg 19 -- #78 – please clarify the purpose of the requirement, and what is meant by ‘integrate’?

Some judges like to dictate their rulings or orders in chambers, and the CMS should make it easy to upload a Word document created in this way.